RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 0 1 2006

BACE 1/3 . ECAD A1 1/1/5009 3:43:48 BW [Esstem atsurgna 11ms] . 2VR:USPTO-EFXRF-5/8 . DMIS:25/38300 . CSID:5/193623805 . DURATION (mm-cs):00-48

Application Number: 10/064.010 Applicant: Vlahos, George J. Filing Date: 06/04/2002 Art Unit. 3739 October 26, 2006

Dear Commissioner:

I request assistance from the examiner in accordance with M.P.E.P. 707.07(J.)

Sincerely,

George Vlahos

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application Number: 10/064.010 Applicant: Vlahos, George J. Filing Date: 06/04/2002 Art Unit. 3739 November 1, 2006,

Dear Commissioner:

Attached is a copy of the correspondence sent on October 26, 2006, included is my fax receipt. Please consider this as timely filed on October 26, 2006.

Sincerely,

. . . .

George Vlahos

bace N3. BCAD AT 11/1/2006 3:43:49 PM [Eastern Standard Time]. SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-5/8. DNIS:2738300. CSID:2193653802. DURATION (mm-ss):00-48 Older Coldes: Coldes of the cold

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO:

Fax Sender at 2193653802

Fax Information Date Received: Total Pages:

10/26/2006 10:16:25 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

3 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received Cover Page >======> Things of the photo with a second or any analysis of the photo of the

PAGE 3/3 * RCVD AT 11/1/2006 3:43:46 PM [Eseceith Stendard Time] * 6VR:USPTO-EFXRP-4/8 * DKI8:27)8300 * CSID:2193653803 * DVRATION (mm-cs):00-48

- 3. In bracket 3, insert complete toxt of suggested claim(s).

rn paragraph 7.97 may be used to indicate allowance of claims.

17.97 Claime Allowed

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

ancessure it rewrittent in mercunacional claim and any intervening claims.

¶ 7.43.01 Allowable Subject Matter, Claima Rejected Under 35 U.S.C. 112, Second Paragraph, independent Claim/Dependent Claim

Claim [1] would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Examiner Note

This form paragraph is to be used when (1) the noted independent claim(s) or (2) the noted dependent claim(s), which depend from an allowable claim, have been rejected solely on the basis of 36 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and would be allowable if amonded to overcome the rejection.

Cisim [1] would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

This form paragraph is to be used only when the noted dependent claim(s), which depend from a claim that is rejected based on prior art, have been rejected solely on the basis of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and would be allowable if amended as indicated.

¶ 7.43.04 Suggestion of Allowable Drafted Claim(s), Pro Se

The following claim [1] drafted by the examiner and considered to distinguish patentably over the art of record in this application, [2] presented to applicant for consideration: Examiner Note

Applications

I. < INVENTOR FILED APPLICATIONS

When, during the examination of a pro so application it becomes apparent to the examiner that there is patentable subject matter disclosed in the application, the examiner should draft one or more claims for the applicant and indicate in his or her action that such claims would be allowed if incorporated in the application by smendment.

This practice will expedite prosecution and offer a service to individual inventors not represented by a registered patent attorney or agent. Although this practice may be desirable and is permissible in any case deemed appropriate by the examiner, it will be expected to be applied in all cases where it is apparent that the applicant is unfamiliar with proper preparation and procedution of patent applications.

If the examiner is satisfied after the search has been completed that patentable subject matter has been disclosed and the record indicates that the applicant intends to disim such subject matter, the examiner may note in the Office action that certain aspects or features of the patentable invention have not been claimed and that if properly claimed such claims may be given favorable consideration.

Where the examiner is satisfied that the prior art has been fully developed and some of the claims are clearly allowable, the allowance of such claims should not be delayed.

